Joe Grunkemeyer

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 1 2005



From:

Fax transmission from:

Examiner Duc Truong

U.S. Naval Research Laboratory Associate Counsel Intellectual Property, Code 1008.2 4555 Overlook Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20375-5325

To:

	Phone: (202) 404-1556	Art Unit 1711	
	Fax: (202) 404-7380	Fax: (571) 273-8300	
Date:	08/11/2005	Cover Sheet + 7 Pages	
Message:			
RE: Patent Application No.: 10/808,264			
Filed: 03/17/2004			
Inventor: Keller et al.			
Docket No.: NC 96,200			
Response to Final Rejection – 7 pages			
	••••••	***************************************	

The information contained in this facsimile may be client confidential, and may also be attorney privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution, or copying of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone for instructions. Thank you.

08/11/05 THU 11:02 FAX 202 404 7380 RECEIVED CODE 1008.2 PATENTS CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 1 2005

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No.: NC 96,200

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of: Keller et al.

Serial No.: 10/808,264 Filed: 03/17/2004

For: OLIGOMERIC HYDROXY ARYLETHER PHTHALONITILES AND SYNTHESIS

THEREOF
Examiner: Truong, Duc
Art Group Unit: 1711

Honorable Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

August 11, 2005

RESPONSE TO FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

In response to the Office action of 05/16/2005, setting a three month shortened statutory period of reply, please consider the following remarks.

Claims 1-7, 22-31, and 56-58 are pending in the application. No claims are presently allowed.

Finality of Rejection

The final rejection includes a new ground of rejection of all the pending claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for alleged lack of enablement, and stated that Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection. (p. 3, lines 16-17.) The rejection concerns the limitation "with or without substituents containing one or more fused aromatic rings, one or more non-fused aromatic rings without intervening functional groups, or combinations thereof wherein the radical sites are on the same or different aromatic rings." This limitation was present in claims 1 and 3 at the time of the non-final action and was added by amendment to claims 22, 24, and 26 in response to the non-final action.

CERTIFICATE OF FASCMILE TRANSMISSION

I certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

8/11/09

Joseph T. Grunkemeyer

Date